LORETO COLLEGE, KOLKATA

PERITIA
***Vol-2, Issue-1* (May, 2026)**
Peer-Reviewed e-Journal
Department of B.Ed., Loreto College, Kolkata.
Page No: 46-52, Section: Article

The Burden of Blame on Women: A Gendered Gaze into Infertility

Shaheli Das, Dr. Suparna Ghosh

Abstract

This study examines the gender biases that unfairly blame women for infertility, even when male factors are the primary issue. We used in-depth case studies to examine how patriarchal norms and gender stereotypes in India maintain this unfair blame on women while neglecting discussions about male reproductive health problems. Our findings show a complex set of emotional, social, and cultural penalties that women face, especially when male sexual dysfunction causes childlessness in couples. This study highlights the urgent need for gender-sensitive methods in discussions and interventions related to reproductive health.

Introduction

Infertility is a widely misunderstood and socially stigmatized health issue that is deeply affected by gender. Patriarchal norms often place the responsibility for reproductive outcomes on women, even when male sexual dysfunction, such as erectile dysfunction or infertility, is the primary cause. Infertility impacts millions of couples across the world, with prevalence rates reaching nearly 30% in developing nations. In societies like India, which value childbirth and uphold patriarchal ideals, being childless brings severe social consequences, primarily for women. Although medical evidence shows that approximately 40% of infertility cases are due to male factors, society continues to see women as the main culprits for reproductive failure. This misattribution not only diminishes the pain women experience but also supports toxic masculinity by discouraging men from taking accountability for their reproductive health concerns.

In India, the stigma surrounding childlessness is rooted in strong gender norms that define women primarily by their ability to have children. For women, being a mother is not just about biology; it is a social expectation that affects their value, security, and status in both the family and community. In contrast, male infertility is rarely discussed publicly, shielded by cultural taboos linking masculinity with virility. This unfair allocation of blame creates a culture of suffering among women that is not adequately addressed in healthcare or social policy.

Need For the Study

This study is necessary because there is a significant gap in public and intellectual discussion about gender and infertility in patrilineal cultures such as India. Though men and women share an equal burden for infertile relationships, the responsibility for blame and penalty disproportionately lies with ladies even if man factors like erectile dysfunction share the responsibility. Social taboos along with fixed conceptions of manliness keep male infertility concealed even if medical facts indicate it contributes towards nearly half of the cases. This disconnect between medical facts and societal beliefs reinforces harmful gender roles, leading to emotional distress, social isolation, and marital strain for women. Reproductive health services and policies often overlook these gendered impacts, resulting in ineffective and insensitive interventions.

By exploring the unspoken struggles experienced by women affected by male infertility, this current study aims towards encouraging gender-sensitive healthcare and breaking the deep-seated stigma, encouraging mutual responsibility in reproductive health.

Objective

This study aims to explore how patriarchal ideas consistently hold women answerable for not having children, even when male impotence is confirmed. We examined the various impacts on women's mental health, social status, and marital stability. Through detailed case studies, we illustrate women's experiences of dealing with this unfair treatment, emphasizing how female blame is normalized and maintained across different social settings.

Literature Review

1. The Social Construction of Infertility in India

In India, childlessness is often seen not just as a medical issue but as a serious social failure that reflects a woman's value and identity. Early studies, such as Unisa's (1999) research in rural Andhra Pradesh, showed the heavy stigma women face regarding infertility. Even when infertility is not their fault, these women experience intense family pressure, social exclusion, and, at times, abandonment. Unisa's foundational work highlights that women often endure repeated and invasive treatments, whereas men rarely undergo tests. This disparity leads to significant psychological distress, including depression and social withdrawal. The research shows that a woman's value within her family is often strongly connected to her capacity to bear children. (Unisa, 1999).

Bharadwaj's (2003) ethnographic study reinforces this societal view, showing why adoption is often rejected in India. She identified that infertility extends beyond being merely a medical condition; it shapes a woman's social identity, especially in traditional families. The expectation of having biological children frequently leads couples experiencing infertility to overlook adoption as a possible alternative. Bharadwaj's research also notes that donor insemination is often kept secret because of male pride. Women are expected to protect their husbands' reputations by hiding any male infertility issues, including erectile dysfunction. A strong cultural emphasis on lineage, caste purity, and bloodlines further deepens resistance to other family building choices. This reveals how patriarchal values and biological expectations burden women with the task of maintaining family honor and secrecy, even in cases of male infertility (Bharadwaj 2003).

2. Gender Disparities in Attribution of Blame and the Silenced Reality of Male Infertility

The existing study shows a clear gender bias in assigning blame for infertility, where male infertility is frequently being ignored or concealed. Research conducted in culturally comparable regions like Pakistan suggests that men view fertility tests as a threat to their masculinity. They often fear being diagnosed with male infertility, leading people to more readily assume that the woman is responsible for the couple's inability to conceive (Mumtaz et al., 2013). This unwillingness to recognize male infertility highlights the broader societal structures that prioritize male identity and status over medical facts.

Despite the growing evidence of male factor infertility, cultural taboos surrounding male reproductive health remain strong (ART Fertility Clinics, 2023). Inhorn and Patrizio's (2015) global review of infertility revealed that conditions such as erectile dysfunction and other male infertility issues are heavily stigmatized and hidden in Middle Eastern and South Asian societies. They describe "masculinity maintenance" behaviors, where men refuse to seek testing or share their conditions. This reinforces how assisted reproductive technologies mostly target women, often neglecting to hold men accountable for reproductive issues (Inhorn and Patrizio 2015).

Dudgeon and Inhorn (2004) delve into how men significantly affect women's health outcomes, especially in reproductive matters. Their research conducted across various regions, including India, identifies a widespread "masculine silence" regarding sexual and reproductive health, including erectile dysfunction. This silence creates a heavy emotional burden for women, who are often expected to feel pressured in order to protect and maintain the perception of male virility. The authors argue for a more gender-balanced approach to reproductive healthcare, stressing that protecting male status often takes priority over medical truth or justice for women (Dudgeon & Inhorn, 2004). This body of work shows that when male factor infertility is acknowledged, it usually does not carry the same harsh social consequences as female infertility does. While childless women often face abuse, exclusion, and potential abandonment, men typically experience minor social repercussions, highlighting a significant gender gap in how infertility affects social standing and personal security (Mumtaz et al., 2013, as cited in the abstract).

3. Medical Practices and Gender Bias

Research indicates a significant gender bias in medical practices regarding infertility treatment. Clinical protocols often begin with invasive testing in women, despite the simplicity of assessing fertility in men. Women commonly report undergoing painful and costly treatments, whereas their male partners often resist even basic diagnostic tests (Dudgeon & Inhorn, 2004; Unisa, 1999). This focus on medicalizing female bodies, with male bodies largely overlooked, mirrors the broader patterns of gender inequality in reproductive healthcare. This consistent issue across various studies highlights a systemic problem in which societal norms lead the medical system to place the burden of investigation and treatment disproportionately on women.

Data Collection Method

The information for this case study were gathered using a qualitative observational research method. This involved studying the social behavior and cultural attitudes surrounding a woman who was held responsible for infertility. Instead of directly interviewing the woman or family members involved, the study used an "observing the observant" technique—gathering insights from a close observer, a neighbor, who had witnessed the situation over time. This indirect method helped reduce bias and encouraged candid responses by maintaining a comfortable distance from the core participants.

A semi-structured questionnaire was used as the primary data collection tool. It included both closed-ended questions (e.g., age, occupation, relationship to the woman) and open-ended questions that encouraged detailed responses regarding social reactions, family dynamics, and gender stereotypes surrounding infertility. The flexibility of the questionnaire struck a synchronization balance between the researcher, micro systematically allowing us to share deep, reflective insights while still keeping the–structured for analysis.

The key respondent in this case was a female neighbor, a graduate and homemaker living in an urban locality. Her close proximity to the woman in question, combined with her education and observational perspective, this made her a suitable for understanding community's attitudes and behavior towards the woman blamed for childlessness. Ethical considerations included ensuring the confidentiality and anonymity of both the respondent and the woman described in the case study.

Case Study Presentation

The study is based around a married woman from an urban middle-class background who, despite not being clinically infertile, faced social and emotional hardship because she was unable to conceive. As soon as suspicions of infertility arose, the woman underwent multiple medical checkups. Even after her medical test

results showed no abnormalities, the pressure did not stop; instead, she was encouraged to continue undergoing rituals and religious visits to seek divine help. These repeated intrusions stemmed from the assumption that women were solely responsible for a couple's childlessness.

The husband refused to undergo any medical examination. His behaviour became increasingly distant and unsupportive. Over time, he engaged in extramarital affairs and began consuming alcohol regularly. Despite this, women remained the primary target of blame. The husband's family also took a rigid stance against her, excluding her from family events and rituals, and gradually reducing her role and status in the household. This woman's lived experience, as reported by the observer, forms the heart of this real-life case study.

The respondent observed that people in the community rarely questioned the man's role in the situation, even among educated individuals. This silence stems from the deeply embedded cultural notion that male infertility is shameful and emasculating, making it a taboo topic. The respondent noted that in patriarchal societies, " When people aren't aware about such issues it usually prays the other as target to play the blame game," with women being the convenient scapegoats.

Detailed Case Study and Analysis

- **Societal Construction of Blame and Female Responsibility**

The case study reveals how blame is systematically constructed and imposed on women through various social mechanisms. The community's initial reaction of suspicion toward the woman, rather than the couple, demonstrates how infertility is gendered from the outset.

The persistent pressure on the woman to undergo repeated medical testing despite confirmation of her fertility illustrates what might be termed a "blame persistence" phenomenon, where the cultural narrative of female guilt worthy is so powerful that contrary evidence is dismissed or minimized.

- **Gender Stereotyping**

This case strongly demonstrates the deep-rooted nature of gender stereotypes and their influence on societal responses to infertility. In patriarchal cultures, fertility and motherhood are often perceived as women's primary identities. When pregnancy does not happen, the blame is instinctively placed on the woman, regardless of scientific or medical evidence. The man's role is not questioned. The case study respondent noted that even though the woman had medical reports proving her fertility, the family continued to treat her as being at fault. This reflects how cultural assumptions overpower logic, reinforcing a biased narrative that holds women responsible for reproductive problems.

- **The Asymmetry of Medical Intervention and Testing**

This case study highlights a striking asymmetry in medical testing and intervention. While the woman was subjected to multiple examinations at various clinics, her husband refused testing altogether. This asymmetry extends beyond diagnosis to treatment, with women bearing the physical and emotional burdens of fertility interventions, irrespective of the underlying cause. The patient in this case was forced to seek religious remedies and undergo repeated medical examinations.

- **Social Penalties and Exclusionary Practices**

The exclusion of women from auspicious rituals and family functions represents a form of social punishment that reinforces their devalued status. This practice of ritual exclusion is particularly significant in context of India, where participation in religious and cultural ceremonies signals social acceptance and a sense of belonging. The respondent noted that the woman "was segregated from taking part in any auspicious rituals or functions" and "treated as not lucky for such activities," demonstrating how infertility transforms women's public identity and relational possibilities.

- **In-Law Relations and Family Power Dynamics**

This analysis discusses how in-laws, especially mothers-in-law, significantly contribute to shaping and reinforcing the narrative of blame. From "the initial day," the in-laws blamed the woman and created an environment of hostility toward her. The power differentials within the household enabled this blame to translate into concrete impositions on the woman's life, including the forced responsibility of raising her brother-in-law's children-a painful reminder of her childlessness and a practical manifestation of her diminished status within the family hierarchy. This arrangement simultaneously reinforced her identity as a caretaker while denying her the social recognition and emotional fulfilment of motherhood.

- **Male Sexual Behaviour and Double Standards**

The husband's response to the situation-engaging in extramarital affairs "openly and with absolute impunity" highlights the unequal gender expectations surrounding the effects of childlessness. While the woman faced continuous blame and social penalties, the husband's infidelity was tolerated and even tacitly endorsed by his family as a justifiable response to childlessness.

The husband's sexual behaviour illustrates how male reproductive sexuality is privileged over female well-being. His extramarital affairs were framed as a legitimate exercise of masculine sexuality in response to his wife's perceived inadequacy, rather than as a betrayal of marital commitment or a potential health risk to his wife. This privileging of male sexual expression over female dignity and safety represents a broader pattern of gender inequity in sexuality governance.

- **Role of Education and Patriarchal Conditioning**

Interestingly, the people involved in this case, including the husband, were educated and from urban backgrounds. This reveals that formal education alone does not guarantee progressive thought. Deep-seated patriarchal beliefs continue to shape people's attitudes and behaviors, regardless of their academic credentials. This case demonstrates how patriarchal conditioning— lifelong reinforcement of gender roles and biases—can overshadow education. Therefore, education must be accompanied by gender sensitivity training and value-based learning to challenge these entrenched norms.

- **Cultural Silencing of Male Infertility**

Perhaps the most striking finding was the persistent unwillingness to recognize the possibility of male infertility despite medical evidence suggesting impotence. The respondent observed that people "never considered it as a fault even when they are themselves educated" because "there is no awareness about such issues among the public." This cultural silencing reflects the deep-seated taboos surrounding male reproductive capacity and its connection to masculine identity. The respondent's explanation that in patriarchal societies, "it usually plays the other target to play the blame game" succinctly captures how blame

functions as a displacement mechanism that protects male status at the expense of female well-being. This displacement is not merely interpersonal but institutional, embedded in medical practices, family structures, and community responses that systematically direct scrutiny toward women while shielding men.

Recommendations

- **Awareness Campaigns**

Open and honest conversations should be started in communities through storytelling, public talks, and awareness drives. When people hear real stories—of both women and men—they begin to understand that infertility is not a shameful secret, and it certainly is not just a woman's burden.

- **Sexual and Reproductive Education**

It is important to educate young minds with essential knowledge from an early stage, making them aware about reproductive health in a balanced and inclusive way. Only then can future generations grow up free from myths and gender-based assumptions surrounding infertility.

- **Counselling Services**

Infertility has a profound emotional impact. Couples need access to safe, supportive environment where emotional experiences may be shared and guidance can be received without judgment, fears, and work through the journey together—not in blame or isolation but with compassion and understanding.

- **Media Sensitization**

Media plays the most important and an influential role in shaping public perceptions and societal norms. Through the telling of compelling and empathetic stories—in films, documentaries, social media drives, and commercials—that evoke the emotional struggles and individual realities of male infertility, we can start breaking down problematic stereotypes, defying antiquated notions of what it means to be man enough, and altering public perceptions to become more understanding, empathetic, and supportive.

- **Cultural Reform**

Many traditions place the entire weight of childlessness on women, leading them to undergo rituals and to bear guilt alone. It is time to gently but firmly question these practices and work toward faith and cultural values that support women, not punish them.

- **Legal and Policy Reforms**

The law should reflect this fairness. Policies should require fertility testing for both partners while also safeguarding women from discrimination or mistreatment due to childlessness. Policies must ensure that both partners undergo testing and that women are protected from discrimination or abuse simply because they have not had children. Justice must be written into the system.

- **Training for Healthcare Providers**

Doctors and nurses often serve as the initial source of support for patients, playing a significant role in assessing their needs, offering immediate attention, and walking them through the healthcare process. They

must be trained not just in medicine but also in empathy so that they do not unknowingly reinforce bias by assuming the problem lies with the woman.

- **Male Involvement Programs**

Men often stay silent in infertility conversations —but silence does not help healing. Programs that actively engage men in reproductive health decisions can break the stigma and encourage shared responsibility on the journey to parenthood.

- **Support Groups and Peer Networks**

No one should feel alone in this regard. Creating spaces—whether in person or online—where couples can talk to others facing the same challenges can bring healing, hope, and a sense of solidarity that is deeply needed.

Conclusion

This case study highlights the silent struggles many women endure when infertility becomes a battleground for blame. Despite the reality of her husband's impotence, the woman in this case was subjected to repeated medical tests, social isolation, and emotional distress. She was excluded from family rituals, burdened with guilt, and forced to carry the emotional weight of a situation she did not create. Meanwhile, her husband remained shielded from medical scrutiny and accountability. These are not just unfortunate exceptions; they are symptoms of a deeply rooted patriarchal system that values male pride over female dignity. The real issue we face isn't necessarily about being misled or uninformed but more about power being exercised and maintained at times at the expense of truth, fairness, and progress. As long as male infertility remains a taboo and women are expected to silently absorb blame, real justice remains unattainable. We must rethink how we understand and respond to infertility—not as a woman's failure but as a shared human experience. Only through open conversations, inclusive healthcare, family counselling, and legal protections can we begin to create a world where women are no longer punished for the truths society refuses to face.

References

Unisa, S. (1999). Childlessness in Andhra Pradesh, India: Treatment-seeking and consequences. Reproductive Health Matters, 7(13), 54–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-8080(99)90117

Bharadwaj, A. (2003). Why adoption is not an option in India: The visibility of infertility, the secrecy of donor insemination, and other cultural complexities. Social Science & Medicine, 56(9), 1867–1880. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536 (02)00210-1.

Inhorn, M. C., & Patrizio, P. (2015). Infertility around the globe: new thinking on gender, reproductive technologies and global movements in the 21st century. Human Reproduction Update, 21(4), 411–426. https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmv016.

Dudgeon, M. R., & Inhorn, M. C. (2004). Men's influences on women's reproductive health: Medical anthropological perspectives. Social Science & Medicine, 59(7), 1379–1395. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed. 2003.11.035